

National Rank:

33rd

Score:

26/100

In Indiana and across the country, state and local governments impose fines as punishment for everything from traffic and municipal code violations to felonies. Courts then tax people with fees, surcharges, and other assessments that fund law enforcement, the court system, and other government operations. Fines and fees for even a single incident can add up to thousands of dollars. People unable to pay these sums immediately may face steep penalties, including additional fees, driver's license suspensions, revocation of voting rights, and even incarceration.

Fines and fees can keep people in a cycle of poverty, causing people to lose their jobs, their homes, and sometimes their children. The same monetary sanction that trivially inconveniences an affluent person can prevent a low-income family from paying the rent. But fines and fees are often set without regard to a person's financial situation. They create a two-tiered system, placing justice out of reach for many low-income people, including a disproportionate number of people of color.¹

That is why the National Center for Access to Justice (NCAJ) based at Fordham Law School convened a task force of experts from around the country to identify best policies to rein in these abuses. In all, NCAJ identified 17 policies that are critical to creating a fairer system that does not criminalize poverty and respects the rights of litigants. NCAJ researched state and local laws in all 50 states and Washington, D.C. and graded the jurisdictions on a scale of 0 to 100 according to how their policies measure up, creating the Fines and Fees Justice Index. In short, no state did well. Only three states scored higher than 50 out of 100 and no state received a passing score.

The good news, however, is that almost every policy we track has been adopted by at least one state. That means that states need not invent good policies whole cloth. Rather, each state could implement more rights-respecting policies simply by looking to what other states are already doing.

This report provides a snapshot of how Indiana fared on all 17 policy benchmarks — and subbenchmarks — along with recommendations for how the state can improve access to justice. To see how all states scored on the Fines and Fees Justice Index, read about their policies, and see the methodology for how NCAJ arrived at the scores, visit the Fines and Fees Justice Index at https://ncaj.org/state-rankings/fines-and-fees.



Fines and Fees Justice Index Indiana Score At-a-Glance

National Rank:

33rd Score: 26/100

Has the state abolished all fees?	1.	Abo	ition of fees	Weight	Score: 0/10
b. Has the state abolished "incarceration fees"? c. Has the state tabelished "incarceration fees"? d. Did the state take steps to end fees in past 4 years? 2 No d. Did the state take steps to end fees in past 4 years? 3 No 2. Abolition of juvenile court fees and fines Weight Score: 0/6 Has the state abolished all juvenile court fees and fines? 6 No 0r: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? 2 No d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? 2 No e. Has the state abolished juvenile frees but not fines? 4 No e. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? 0r: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes 0r: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 Ability to pay determinations Does the state require deviate the protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/m		Has t	he state abolished all fees?		
c. Has the state abolished "incarceration fees"? d. Did the state take steps to end fees in past 4 years? 3 No 2. Abolition of juvenile court fees and fines Weight Score: 0/6 Has the state abolished all juvenile court fees and fines? 6 No Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? 2 No d. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law 6 No enforcement and courts? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law 6 No enforcement and courts? 3. Does all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A b. Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state rourts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does the state require the government to		Or:			No
d. Did the state take steps to end fees in past 4 years? 2. Abolition of juvenile court fees and fines? Has the state abolished all juvenile court fees and fines? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? e. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees e. Has the state abolished juvenile frees but not fines? e. Has the state take significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A b. Does the state require courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state require to pay the protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 3 N/A c. Does the state require the government to pro					No
Abolition of juvenile court fees and fines Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? 2 No d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state eaver that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? 2 Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? 2 Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 3 N/A Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A 2 Does the state require ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A 2 Does the state require ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do nost counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A 5 No Does the state require the government					
Has the state abolished all juvenile court fees and fines? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? c. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees c. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees d. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1. No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1. No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1. No 4. Private debt collection Does the state require private collector's compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state productions from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection; e. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection; e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1. N/A b. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1. N/A b. Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? a. Do all but one counties/municipality meet the benchmark? b. Does the state require ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? a. Do most counties/municipality meet the benchmark? b. Does the state require ability to pay determinations? C. Does the state require ability to pay determination? 3. N/A b. Does at least one major county/muni			d. Did the state take steps to end fees in past 4 years?	3	No
Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No	2.				
b. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? c. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fines? e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state nequire courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A c. Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the bench			•		
c. Has the state abolished juvenile fees but not fines? d. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No C. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No Ones the state bar courts from using private collection firms? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A C. Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A C. Does the state require private collection firms? 1 N/A C. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? 2 Does the state rourts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? 2 Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 3 N/A Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A C. Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A C. Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does at least one major county/municipality meet		Or:			
d. Has the state abolished juvenile fines but not fees e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A b. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 3 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay i					
e. Has the state taken significant steps to abolish juvenile court fines and/or fees in the last 4 years? 3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does the state require ability to pay determinations when imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does the state require to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations 6 Yes 1 N/A			·		
3. Conflicts of interest Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Weight Score: 6/6 Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay i			•		
Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or:				Z	No
Does the state ensure that fines & fees revenue does not directly fund law enforcement and courts? Or:	3.	Conf	licts of interest	Weight	Score: 0/6
enforcement and courts? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? 0r: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No c. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No c. Ability to pay standards 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No c. Ability to pay standards 6 Yes Core: 5/5 Core:					
b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Weight Score: 3/3 Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipality meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Weight Score: 6/6 Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 5 No Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 9/10 Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 Yes			cement and courts?		
c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees? 1 No 4. Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 6 No T. Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 Yes		Or:		1	No
### Private debt collection Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes				1	No
Does the state bar courts from using private collection firms? 3 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1.5 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? 1 N/A d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? 1 N/A e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Weight Score: 6/6 Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? 6 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Weight Score: 0/10 Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sunctions, including incarceration?			c. Has the state capped the % of local budgets raised from fines & fees?	1	No
Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 6. Willful failure to pay Weight Score: 0/10 Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 7 No Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	4.	Priva	te debt collection	Weight	Score: 3/3
b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipalities meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determination? 3 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Weight Score: 0/10 No Toes the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 7 No Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 N/A		Does		3	Yes
c. Does the state require private collectors' compensation be unrelated to the amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 7. Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 N/A		Or:		1.5	
amount collected? d. Does the state bar courts from imposing surcharges on fines and fees in private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 1 N/A 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? The Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 N/A Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 N/A				1	•
private collection? e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act? 5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? To boes at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? To boes at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? To boes at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? To boes at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipality to pay? To boes at least one major county/municipalities meet the benchmark?				1	N/A
5. Ability to pay determinations Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 5. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? The Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A			private collection?	1	•
Does the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? To a description of the state require to pay standards To a description of the state require to pay standards Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? To a description of the state require to pay standards Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? To a description of the state require to pay standards Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? To a description of the state require county standards for judges to determine ability to pay? To a description of the state require counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? Do a determination of the			e. Does the state have protections of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act?	1	N/A
imposing fines, fees, assessments or surcharges? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 3 N/A b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 N/A c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? 3 N/A d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Weight Score: 0/10 Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 7. Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A	5.	Abili	ry to pay determinations	Weight	Score: 6/6
Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? The Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A		Does	the state require courts to conduct an ability to pay determinations when	6	Yes
b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 7. Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A		impo			
c. Does the state require ability to pay determinations for fines? d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 7. Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A		Or:		3	N/A
d. Can people request an ability to pay determination? 2 N/A 6. Willful failure to pay Weight Score: 0/10 Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 7. Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A			b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	N/A
6. Willful failure to pay Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 7. Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A				3	N/A
Does the state require the government to prove failure to pay is willful before imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? The state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 10 No No No Veight Score: 5/5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A			d. Can people request an ability to pay determination?	2	N/A
imposing sanctions, including incarceration? Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 7. Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A	6.	Willf	ul failure to pay	Weight	Score: 0/10
Or: a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 5 No b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? 1 No 7. Ability to pay standards Weight Score: 5/5 Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? 5 Yes Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A				10	No
7. Ability to pay standards Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? N/A		_	a. Do most counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?		
Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A			b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	No
Has the state codified standards for judges to determine ability to pay? Or: a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark? 2.5 N/A	7.	Abili	y to pay standards	Weight	Score: 5/5
b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark? $1 N/A$		Or:		2.5	N/A
			b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	N/A

	umption of indigence	Weight	-
	state codified standards for the presumption of indigence?	5	No
Or:	a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	2.5	No
	b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	No
Wai	ver or modification of fines and fees	Weight	Score: 4/8
Do ju	dges have discretion to waive or modify fines and fees?	8	No
Or:	a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	3	No
	b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	No
	c. Does the state meet the benchmark a) only for fines, or b) only for some fees assessments and/or surcharges?	, 4	Yes
. Payr	nent plans	Weight	Score: 0/
	nyone pay fines & fees on a payment plan without penalty?	3	No
Or:	a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	1.5	No
	b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	No
. Day	fines	Weight	Score: 0/
	the state mandate or encourage courts to use day fines?	3	No No
Or:	a. Is at least one court in the state piloting or using day fines?	1	No
Righ	t to counsel	Weight	Score: 0/
	ere a right to counsel for people facing incarceration for failure to pay?	Weight 6	No
Or:	a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	3	No
01.	b. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	1	No
	er's license suspension	Weight	Score: 2/
	the state law bar driver's license suspension for failure to pay and failure to ar in court?	6	No
appe Or:	a. Does the state allow suspension of driver's licenses for failure to pay, but	2	Yes
01.	only in some driving-related cases?	_	103
	b. Does the state bar driver's license suspension for failure to pay but permit it	3	No
	for failure to appear?		
	c. Does the state bar driver's license suspension for failure to appear but	3	No
	permit it for failure to pay?		
. Voti	ng Rights	Weight	Score: 6/
	the state allow restoration of voting rights if people have unpaid fines and including where fines and fees are a condition of probation or parole?	6	Yes
. Reco	ords expungement	Weight	Score: 0/
	the state allow sealing of records or records expungement if people have	6	No
	randing fines and/or fees?		
Or:	a. Do all but some counties/municipalities meet the benchmark?	3	No
. Data	collection and reporting	Weight	Score: 0/
	a. Does the state collect/publish data on fines & fees imposed, and revenue	1	No
	collected? b. Data on people incarcerated for failure to pay fines and fees?	1	No
		1	No No
	c. Data on fines and fees imposed, broken down by race?d. Data on fines and fees imposed, broken down by age?	1	No No
			No No
	e. Data on fines and fees imposed, broken down by gender?	1	INO
	ID response	Weight	Score: 0/
	he state enact at least one significant, temporary measure to mitigate impact	5	No
of fin	es and fees during the COVID-19 pandemic?	2	Ma
	a. Does at least one major county/municipality meet the benchmark?	2	No

Indiana's Fines and Fees Justice Index Score

Indiana scored 26 out of 100 points on the Fines and Fees Justice Index. It tied with Tennessee for 33rd. Below, we explain in more detail how Indiana fared on each of the Fines and Fees Justice Index benchmarks and we describe how it could do better.

Benchmark 1: Abolition of Fees

Indiana Score: 0 out of 10 points

Recognizing that fees serve no role in making communities safer and that they unfairly force people who come into contact with the courts to pay for a system that serves all of society, the Justice Index sets a goal of abolishing all court fees. No state has yet abolished all court fees, but one in three has moved to abolish some of the most pernicious fees. These include fees for appointed counsel in criminal cases and fees for a person's incarceration, such as per diem "pay to stay" fees and charges for the cost of meals and other basic necessities. Indiana charges a range of fees, including fees for the costs of a public defender and the costs of medical care during incarceration.ⁱⁱ

Recommendation: Indiana should abolish all fees. Short of that, it should abolish the most pernicious fees, including fees for appointed counsel and incarceration fees.

Benchmark 2: Juvenile Court Fines and Fees

Indiana Score: 0 out of 6 points

A growing body of research shows that juvenile court fines and fees, which require children or their families to pay when a child has contact with the juvenile courts, impede rehabilitation, increase recidivism, and can create family instability by placing financial stress on families already struggling to make ends meet. For that reason, a growing number of states are moving to abolish juvenile court fines and fees. Indiana, however, imposes juvenile court fines and fees.

Recommendation: Indiana should abolish all juvenile court fines and fees, as Delaware, New Jersey, New Mexico and New York have done.

Benchmark 3: Barring Conflicts of Interest Around Fines and Fees Revenue

Indiana Score: 0 out of 6 points

In many states, fines and fees pay for some or all of law enforcement and court system budgets. This use of the revenue can create perverse incentives, encouraging police to make more stops and arrests and court personnel to ratchet up punishments to pay their own salaries. Alaska, New York, South Dakota, and Utah have all avoided this conflict of interest by ensuring that revenue raised from fines and fees

does not go directly into law enforcement or court budgets. Indiana, however, has not taken this critical step. $^{\rm iv}$

Recommendation: To eliminate conflicts of interest that can increase fines and fees, the state should ensure that revenue from these charges does not go into law enforcement or court budgets.

Benchmark 4: Private Collection of Fines and Fees Debt

Indiana Score: 3 out of 3 points

Debt collection agencies often add large surcharges to bills they are collecting. Because they may only get paid when they collect money, debt collection agencies also have an incentive to use predatory practices to squeeze money from people who may not be able to afford it. When states allow private agencies to collect fines and fees, those agencies profit while people who cannot pay incur even more debt. For that reason, one in three states have either outlawed the use of private debt collection agencies to collect unpaid court debts or have taken steps to rein in abusive practices. Indiana specifies that the sheriff must collect unpaid court fees.

Recommendation: Indiana should continue the practice of not using private collection firms to collect unpaid fines and fees.

Benchmark 5: Consideration of Ability to Pay at Sentencing

Indiana Score: 6 out of 6 points

Recognizing the harms of charging people fines and fees they simply cannot afford to pay, one in four states require courts to conduct ability to pay assessments every time they order a person to pay a fine, fee, assessment or surcharge. Indiana requires courts to consider whether a person is indigent any time they impose fines or fees. Vi

Recommendation: Indiana should continue the practice of requiring courts to conduct ability to pay assessments when imposing fines, fees, surcharges, or other costs.

Benchmark 6: Proof of Willful Failure to Pay Before Incarceration or Other Sanctions

Indiana Score: 0 out of 10 points

In 1983 the United States Supreme Court ruled in *Bearden v. Georgia* that courts cannot incarcerate a person for failure to pay court debts unless the failure to pay was "willful." Nevertheless, only 15 states require courts to conduct a hearing and find that the person's failure to pay was willful before ordering incarceration or the imposition of other sanctions, including the suspension of a driver's license. Indiana does not require the state to prove that a person's failure to pay was willful before a judge orders incarceration. VII

Recommendation: Indiana should abolish incarceration as a sanction for failure to pay. Short of that, the state should require courts to conduct a hearing and find that a person's failure to pay was willful before ordering incarceration or imposing other sanctions.

Benchmark 7: Ability to Pay Standards

Indiana Score: 5 out of 5 points

When courts conduct ability to pay determinations, they often do so without clear, uniform standards about how to conduct the proceedings, the evidence to consider, and the criteria to gauge what a person is able to pay. This can lead to wildly different results across court rooms, leaving many people unprotected. For that reason, 11 states have codified standards giving clear guidance to judges. Indiana has codified an ability to pay standard. VIII

Recommendation: Indiana should periodically update and review its ability to pay standard.

Benchmark 8: Standards that Trigger a Presumption of Indigence

Indiana Score: 0 out of 5 points

Eight states have codified standards that trigger a presumption that a person is indigent and, therefore, unable to pay fines, fees, costs, surcharges or assessments. In some states, the fact that a person is entitled to appointed counsel or receives public benefits is enough to trigger the presumption that they cannot pay fines and fees. In others, a certain income threshold triggers the presumption. Indiana has not codified a standard that triggers a presumption of indigence.^{ix}

Recommendation: Indiana should codify a clear standard that triggers the presumption that a person is indigent and, therefore, cannot afford to pay fines or fees.

Benchmark 9: Discretion to Modify or Waive Fines and Fees

Indiana Score: 4 out of 8 points

To ensure that fines and fees reflect what people can actually afford to pay, judges must have discretion in individual cases. Eighteen states give judges the ability to waive or modify all fines, fees, surcharges and assessments according to the person's ability to pay, and nearly every state give judges the ability to waive or modify these costs in at least some circumstances. Indiana does not allow for modification or waiver of all fines, but it received partial credit because courts have the ability to waive or modify fees and some fines upon a finding of indigence.^x

Recommendation: Indiana should give judges discretion to waive or modify all fines, fees, and other costs.

Benchmark 10: Payment Plans

Indiana Score: 0 out of 3 points

Bars on payment plans create needless barriers to payment for people who cannot pay an entire fine or fee up front, but some states never allow people to use payment plans. Others authorize—but do not require—judges to allow payment plans, leaving some people who cannot afford to pay upfront vulnerable. States should mandate that anyone can choose to pay fines and fees on a payment plan if they cannot afford to pay immediately, without incurring any additional fees or interest charges, but only five do so to date. Indiana authorizes—but does not require—judges to allow people to pay fines and fees on installment plans.xi

Recommendation: Indiana should mandate that anyone can choose to pay fines and fees on a payment plan, without incurring any additional fees or interest charges.

Benchmark 11: Individualized Fines

Indiana Score: 0 out of 3 points

To date, Oklahoma is the only state that has adopted individualized fines, sometimes referred to as "day fines." Individualized fines, long used in Germany and other European countries, are scaled to the severity of the offense and the person's income, helping to ensure that fines are adjusted to what people can afford to pay, and that people with greater income experience penalty of equivalent impact for violation of the same law.^{xii}

Recommendation: Indiana should follow Oklahoma's lead and institute day fines. Scaling fines not only to the severity of the person's offense but also to their income helps to ensure that people who are poor or working class do not experience much harsher punishments for the same behavior as wealthy people who can afford to pay.

Benchmark 12: Right to Counsel When Incarceration is Possible

Indiana Score: 0 out of 6 points

More than half of states give people the right to an attorney at court hearings if the person may face jail time for failure to pay a fine, fee, surcharge, or assessment. Indiana does not provide a right to counsel in such hearings. x_{iii}

Recommendation: Indiana should eliminate incarceration as a possible punishment for failure to pay. Short of that, the state should ensure that people have a right to counsel at any hearing where they might face jail time for failure to pay fines, fees, surcharges, assessments, or other costs.

Benchmark 13: Driver's License Suspension for Failure to Pay Fines and Fees

Indiana Score: 2 out of 6 points

Eighty-six percent of Americans drive to work. A driver's license is also necessary to take children to school, buy groceries, go to doctor's appointments, and meet many other basic needs. But courts often suspend driver's licenses for failure to pay fines and fees, or for failure to appear at hearings connected with fines and fees payments, forcing people either to lose their jobs and face other hardships, or drive with a suspended license risking further penalties and punishments. For that reason, there is growing momentum to end the suspension of driver's licenses. Now, three in five states bar the suspension of driver's licenses for failure to pay fines and fees. Indiana received partial credit because it allows for the suspension of driver's licenses for failure to pay and failure to appear at hearings for nonpayment, but only in cases connected to driving-related charges.

Recommendation: Indiana should eliminate the practice of suspending driver's licenses for failure to pay or failure to appear, including in driving-related cases.

Benchmark 14: Voting Rights

Indiana Score: 6 out of 6 points

During the Jim Crow era, states passed laws designed to prevent Black people from voting, including poll taxes. There is no defensible good government nexus between money and voting. Today, however, a form of poll tax still exists. In many states, people lose the right to vote when they are convicted of a felony. Almost half of states block people from restoring their voting rights unless and until they pay all fines and fees. Indiana restores voting rights when people complete their prison term, including if they have outstanding fines and fees. **

Recommendation: Indiana should continue to allow people to exercise the fundamental right to vote.

Benchmark 15: Conditioning Expungement on the Payment of Fines and Fees

Indiana Score: 0 out of 6 points

One in three people in the United States has a criminal record, which can interfere with a person's ability to find a job, rent or buy a home, enroll in higher education, access government benefits and more. Some criminal records can be sealed from public view or removed through expungement, making it easier for people to get back on their feet after satisfying a criminal sentence. One in four states allows people to expunge or seal their records notwithstanding that they have unpaid fines and fees. Indiana, however, does not allow people to expunge or seal their records unless and until they have paid all fines and fees.

Recommendation: Indiana should expand access to expungements and record sealing, including by allowing people to expunge or seal their records if they have outstanding fines and fees.

Benchmark 16: Data Transparency

Indiana Score: 0 out of 6 points

To understand the magnitude of the problem and to identify solutions, it is critical for states to collect and publicize data about fines and fees, including the totals that state and local governments assess and actually collect; fines and fee amounts imposed, broken down by race and ethnicity, age, gender, and income level; and number of people incarcerated for failure to pay. Indiana does not publish this data.^{xvii}

Recommendation: Indiana should collect and report data about fines and fees, including amounts imposed— broken down by race and ethnicity, age, gender, and income level—as well as revenue collected. It should also collect and report on the number of people incarcerated for failure to pay.

Benchmark 17: Temporary Measures to Mitigate the of Fines and Fees During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Indiana Score: 0 out of 5 points

The COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic fallout caused financial hardship across our society. Early in the crisis, tens of millions of people lost their jobs. Although employment numbers gradually improved, the challenges persisted. Near the end of 2021, 20 million households reported that they did not have enough to eat and 10 million households reported that they were behind on rent. Almost half of states took steps to change their fines and fees policies in light of these new economic circumstances. Indiana did not change its policies on fines and fees to respond to the economic hardships people were suffering at the beginning of the pandemic.

Recommendation: The COVID-19 pandemic and its financial toll revealed many ways society could be operating differently, including in practices around fines and fees. In anticipation of future pandemic surges and other potential challenges, including financial hardships, Indiana should continue to review and reform its policies on fines and fees, guided by the benchmarks set forth above.

Conclusion

The overall findings of the Fines and Fees Justice Index are sobering. No state received a passing score. The findings do, however, provide some room for optimism. Almost all of the 17 benchmarks have been adopted by at least one state. That means that states need not reinvent the wheel. To implement better, more rights-respecting policies, in most cases they need only look to what other states are already doing. To see how other states fare, visit https://ncaj.org/state-rankings/fines-and-fees.

End Notes

- vii Indiana provides for commitment to county jail as punishment for failure to pay costs or fines, unless the person is indigent. Indiana statute does not condition such punishment on whether the person has willfully failed to pay. See Ind. Code § 33-37-2-3(d); Ind. Code § 35-38-1-18(d).
- viii IN SB 302, which went into effect July 1, 2020, codifies certain standards that a court must consider in determining indigence (including in relation to ability to pay fines and fees). See Ind. Code § 35-33-7-6.5.
- ix Ind. Code § 35-33-7-6.5 provides that in determining indigence the court shall consider the person's assets, income and necessary expenses. It further provides that the court may consider eligibility for enumerated public benefits. It does not, however, provide a specific formula or standard that triggers an automatic finding of indigence.
- x Ind. Code § 33-37-2-3. See also Ind. Code § 35-33-7-6.5(d) (effective July 1, 2020) ("If the court finds that the person is able to pay some of the fines, fees, and court costs, the court may prorate the person's fine, fee, and court costs, and require the person to pay an amount that the person can reasonably afford.") There does not appear to be an equivalent limitation to Ind. Code 35-38-1-18, granting courts discretion to not impose fines upon a finding of indigence.
- xi See Ind. Code § 33-37-2-3(a)(3); Ind. Code § 35-38-1-18(a)(3).
- xii See 22 Okla. Stat. Ann. § 991a(A)(1)(y).
- xiii See Ind. Code §§ 33-37-2-3(d), 35-38-1-18(d), which are silent on the right to counsel. See also The Right to Counsel in Indiana: Evaluation of Trial Level Indigent Defense Services, Sixth Amendment Center (Oct. 2016),

https://perma.cc/9587-8ZTD. But cf. *In re Marriage of Stariha*, 509 N.E.2d 1117 (Ind. Ct. App. 1987) (holding that "where the possibility exists that an indigent defendant may be incarcerated for contempt for failure to pay child support he or she has a right to appointed counsel and to be informed of that right prior to commencement of the contempt hearing"). xiv See Ind. Code § 9-30-3-8(c)-(d).

- xv Ind. Code § 3-7-13-4.
- xvi See Ind. Code §§ 35-38-9-2(e)(3), 35-38-9-3(e)(3).
- xvii The state reports some totals of fees collected, but it does not disaggregate the data. See, e.g., Summary of State Revenues by Source, Ind. State Budget Agency, https://perma.cc/2]ZY-QRTK.
- xviii "Tracking the COVID-19 Economy's Effect on Food, Housing, and Employment Hardships," Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, Feb. 10, 2022, https://perma.cc/E9GQ-SFNI.
- xix "COVID-19 Fines and Fees Policy Tracker," Fines and Fees Justice Center (2020), https://perma.cc/E6F8-H7YC. See also, "Ending the Burden of Fines and Fees During COVID-19," Brennan Center for Justice (Jan. 7, 2022), https://perma.cc/7N85-E6F9.

¹ See, e.g., U.S. Comm'n on Civil Rights, Targeted Fines and Fees Against Communities of Color: Civil Rights & Constitutional Implications (Sept. 2017), https://perma.cc/W7Y7-C7MW.

ii See, e.g., Ind. Code § 33-40-3-7; Ind. Code § 11-12-5-7(b).

iii See, e.g., Ind. Code § 33-37-4-3(a).

iv See generally, Ind. Code tit. 33 art. 37 ch. 4, "Collection of Court Cost Fees."

v Ind. Code § 33-37-4-10.

vi See Ind. Code 33-37-2-3; Ind. Code 35-38-1-18.